



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,738	10/31/2001		Louis Odenwald	01-533	7019
24319	7590	10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION				NGUYEN, MIKE	
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 LEGAL MILPITAS, CA 95035				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2182	ク
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Application No. 09/998,738 ODENWALD, LOUIS	
## Examiner Art Unit 2182	
Mike Nguyen 2182 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisione of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled statistic (s) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will experi SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, period will apply and will experi SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, period will apply and will experi SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2001. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6b) ⊆ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6c) ⊆ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6c) ⊆ Claim(s) is/are objected to is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)□ The p	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is best han thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is best than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is best than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is best than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply within the set of asteroid and the surface of the statutory period on the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply within the set of asteroid and the statutory period on t	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply sepretified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepretified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will sophe SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (30) LSC. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1 is approved by disapproved by the Examiner.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
if approved, defreeded and village and required in reprise time embed defined.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	1).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Notices & Remarks

1. Claims 1-20 are pending for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-8, 10-14, 16-18 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sjolander et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,587,959 B1).

- 3. As to claims 10 and 1, Sjolander teaches an apparatus and a system for providing an input/output interface with load balancing functionality between a host and a target (see fig. 3), comprising:
- a host including an input/output interface (see fig. 3 element "SERVER CLUSTER"), the input/output interface including:
 - a first data transfer route suitable for communicatively coupling the apparatus to a host

Art Unit: 2182

system (see fig. 3 elements "CLIENT", "SERVER CLUSTER");

a second data transfer route suitable for communicatively coupling the apparatus to a target (see fig. 3 elements "SERVER CLUSTER", "DISK STORAGE" "AB");

a third data transfer route suitable for communicatively coupling the apparatus to a target (see fig. 3 elements "SERVER CLUSTER", "DISK STORAGE" "DE");

a memory suitable for storing electronic data, the memory including a program of instructions (col. 3 lines 50-62); and

a controller communicatively coupled to the first data transfer route, the second data transfer route, the third data transfer route and the memory, the controller suitable for performing the program of instructions, wherein the program of instructions configures the controller to transfer data between the host and target utilizing a logical identifier included in a logical identifier table associated with the second data transfer and the third data transfer route, the data transfer performed by utilizing the second data transfer route and the third data transfer route in a load balance manner (see col. 3 lines 66-67 and col. 4 lines 1-58).

4. As to claim 2, Sjolander teaches the apparatus and the method, wherein the host does not have dynamic multipathing filter functionality (see col. 3 lines 3-58 wherein the client sends a message to the server and this message is specifically addressed to a hardware device which is shared by the server. After that the server automatically polls all ports to determine what hardware device are connected and determine which route use to communicate with the hardware device).

Art Unit: 2182

5. As to claim 3, Sjolander teaches the apparatus and the method, wherein the host, when

Page 4

confronted with multiple routes to a target, views the multiple routes as multiple targets (col. 5

lines 9-23).

6. As to claim 4, Sjolander teaches the apparatus, wherein a logical identifier is utilized to

access the apparatus by the host (see col. 3 lines 44-62).

7. Ad to claim 5, Sjolander teaches the apparatus in claim 4, wherein the logical identifier is

included in a logical identifier table (see col. 4 lines 49-57).

8. As to claim 6, Sjolander teaches the apparatus and the method, wherein the logical

identifier is associated with a target routing table, the target routing table including a target

routing entry indicating a data transfer route between the target and the apparatus (col. 4 lines

22-48).

9. As to claims 13, 7 and 18, Sjolander teaches the apparatus and the method, wherein the

route includes at least one of world wide node name and world wide port name (see col. 4 lines

3-8).

10. As to claims 8 and 14, Sjolander teaches the apparatus as described in claim 6, wherein

the target routing entry includes a physical address of the target (see col. 4 lines 27-32).

11. As to claim 11, Sjolander teaches the system as described in claim 10 wherein the second

data transfer route and the third data transfer route are indicated by entries in a target routing

table (col. 4 lines 22-48).

12. As to claim 12, Sjolander teaches the system as described in claim 11, wherein the target

routing table includes a target routing entry indicating a data transfer route between the

input/output interface and the apparatus (see col. 4 lines 22-48).

Art Unit: 2182

As to claims 16 and 21, Sjolander teaches a method for providing a load-balancing 13. function between a host and a target in a network environment by an input/output interface, comprising:

providing a logical identifier table by an input/output interface, the logical identifier table including at least one logical identifier, the logical identifier suitable for referencing at lest one physical address identifier of a target (see col. 4 lines 2-48); and

managing communications between the host and the target by selecting a route by the input/output interface from at least two routes associated with a logical identifier, the at least two routes communicatively coupling the input/output interface to the target so that the host transfer data by balancing data transferred utilizing the second route and the third route of at least two routes (see col. 4 lines 49-67 and col. 5 lines 1-32).

- As to claim 17, Sjolander teaches the method as described in claim 19, wherein the 14. logical identifier is associated with the physical address identifier included in a target routing table (col. 4 lines 3-8, 22-48)
- 15. As to claim 20, Sjolander teaches the method as described in claim 19, wherein the input/output interface includes a controller, the controller suitable for managing the communications (see col. 3 lines 66-67 and col. 4 lines 1-58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 16. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 5

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.



Art Unit: 2182

17. Claims 9, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sjolander in view of Bass (U.S. Pat. No 6,137,797).

As to claims 15, 9 and 19, Sjolander fails to explicitly teach at least one of a loop and fabric. Bass; however, teaches the apparatus is communicatively coupled to the target over at least one of a loop and fabric (see fig. 1 and col. 4 lines 20-25). It would have been obviously a person having ordinary skill in the art to have at least one of a loop and fabric by Bass in order to enable a device on one communicate network, such as LAN, to communicate with another device on another LAN (see col. 1 lines 15-17).

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5040 or email is mike.nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

The appropriate fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached on (703) 308-3301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mike Nguyen Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2182 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

166

10/01/2003